ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

DATE: March 10, 2000

FROM: THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH & ANALYSIS

JANUARY 2000 TAX FACTS

SUMMARY OF GENERAL FUND REVENUES

		Fiscal Year
	January 2000	<u>Tota</u> l
Individual Income Tax		
Net Collections	\$275,817,490	\$1,255,960,053
Percent Change	11.7%	5.2%
Corporate Income Tax		
Net Collections	\$21,955,175	\$263,356,326
Percent Change	(11.4%)	3.1%
Transaction Privilege,		
Severance & Use Taxes		
Net Collections	\$269,981,661	\$1,633,808,998
Change	11.5%	10.1%
Total Big Three Tax Types		
Net Collections	\$567,754,326	\$3,153,125,377
Percent Change	10.5%	7.5%

TAX FACTS

January 2000

INDIVIDUAL INCOME TAX

Individual Income Tax Receipts

	January 2000	January 1999	% Change
Gross Collections	\$106,597,443	\$91,129,461	17.0
Withholding	205,250,956	188,029,592	9.2
Refunds	(4,554,993)	(3,840,091)	18.6
Urban Revenue Sharing	(31,475,916)	(28,359,221)	11.0
Net Collections	\$275,817,490	\$246,959,742	11.7
	Fiscal Year Total (99/00)	Fiscal Year Total (98/99)	% Change
		riscai i cai i otai (70/77)	70 Change
Gross Collections	\$287,905,063	\$276,792,711	4.0
Gross Collections Withholding		,	
	\$287,905,063	\$276,792,711	4.0
Withholding	\$287,905,063 1,281,442,345	\$276,792,711 1,191,391,787	4.0 7.6

Federal Retiree Program

The Federal Retiree Project is drawing to a close. Refunds sent out directly as a result of the Federal Retiree Program is \$785 for January and \$11,556 for the fiscal year. The total revised estimated cost of the FRP project is \$162.1 million. The estimate for the final credit payout for the remaining credit holders is \$0.151 million.

Federal Employees Retirement Contribution Program

The Federal Employees Retirement Contribution Project is also nearing completion. Refunds issued as a result of the FERC project totaled \$4,006 in January and \$23,108 for the fiscal year. In addition to this amount, \$0 of available credits were used to cover outstanding agency debts. The law firm of Bonn, Lusher, Padden & Wilkins has obtained a temporary restraining order requiring the department to withhold 20% of each claimants refund based on the law firm's claim that they should be entitled to fees from each claimant that benefited from their work. This amount is equal to \$1,002 for the month of January and \$5,949 for the fiscal year.

Individual Income Tax Document Count

In calendar year 1999, the following individual income tax returns were filed:

	140X	140	140NR	140PY	140NPR	141	140A	140PTC	140EZ	TOTAL
#	39,865	1,323,254	69,417	104,001	92	39,500	336,335	17,266	162,772	2,092,502
%	1.9	63.3	3.3	5.0	0.0	1.9	16.1	8.9	7.8	

In calendar year 2000 the number of returns filed, and processed, are as follows:

	140X	140	140NR	140PY	140NPR	141	140A	140PTC	140EZ	TOTAL	_
#	673	2,653	117	118	5	194	705	401	149	5,015	
%	13.4	52.9	2.3	2.4	0.1	3.9	14.1	8.0	3.0		

The 5,015 returns filed through January 24, 2000 compares to 138,661 returns filed through mid February 1999.

Year-Over-Year Comparison of Resident Taxpayers

Comparisons of Federal Adjusted Gross Income (FAGI) and tax liability growth between two tax years can be made from taxpayers who have filed for both calendar years to be compared and who have indicated the same marital status on the tax returns for both years. The Department of Revenue has received 3,015 returns in calendar year 2000 for tax year 1999 from filers who also have returns on record from calendar year 1998 with the same marital status. Currently, this comparison does not include filers who filed electronically. On average, these filers experienced a 6.6% growth in FAGI and a 9.6% increase in tax liability. More specifically, 21.8% of these filers experienced a decrease in tax liability; on average a decrease of 9.0% with a corresponding average decrease in FAGI of 22.3%. Filers showing an increase in tax liability totaled 1,426, or 47.3%, with an average FAGI increase of 15.6% and an average tax liability increase of 29.6%.

Average Individual Income Tax Refund

	Average	Number
2000 CYTD	\$430.03	7,170
1999 CYTD	\$369.25	15,053
% Change	16.5%	(52.4%)

"New" Filers in Calendar Year 1999

Table 1 attached to this report, presents the number of "new" returns filed in calendar year 1999 through the end of December. "New" returns are for those filers who did not file in the previous calendar year. Therefore, these filers could be new full-year residents of Arizona, or they may be newly required to file an individual income tax return. (The filer may not have earned enough income in the previous year to file, such as a recent graduate just entering the work force.) Currently, this number does not include "new" filers who filed electronically. The table indicates that 869 "new" returns have been filed thus far in 2000. representing approximately 1,030 persons, not including dependents. The average Federal Adjusted Gross Income for these 869 returns is \$13,240, with an average tax liability of \$68. The right half of this table presents characteristics of the filers represented on the returns. Of all "new" returns, 16.8% had a married filing joint filing status, 2.4% claimed a 65 And Over Exemption and 74.0% claimed dependents.

Individual Income Tax Estimated Payments

Beginning in 1990, individuals are required to make estimated payments to Arizona if their Arizona gross income will exceed \$75,000, in an amount which will represent unpaid tax liability at the end of the taxpayer's tax year. The total estimated payments on the 140ES for tax year 1999 was \$324.0 million, for an average of \$1,672. A total additional \$56.2 million in estimated payments was received as refunds on the 1998 tax returns applied to 1999 estimates, for an average of \$1,706. Estimated payments received during January for tax year 2000 is as follows:

1/00	140ES payment	\$253,474	Cumulative	\$253,474
1/99	140ES payment	\$271,716	Cumulative	\$271,716
	Percent change	(6.7%)		(6.7%)
1/00	Average payment	\$828	Cumulative	\$828
1/99	Average payment	\$2,922	Cumulative	\$2,922
	Percent change	(71.7%)		(71.7%)
1/00	applied refund	\$3,103	Cumulative	\$3,103
1/99	applied refund	\$0	Cumulative	\$0
	Percent change	3,103%		3,103%
Total 1/00		\$256,577	Cumulative	\$256,577
Total 1/99		\$271,716	Cumulative	\$271,716
	Percent change	(5.6%)		(5.6%)

Withholding

Withholding payments are received from employers and applied towards a specific quarter for the designated year. Information is gathered for 15 months for any given quarter. For example, from July 1998 through September 1999, \$447,451,017 was received for the third quarter of 1998. The latest complete quarter (15 months of information has been compiled) is the fourth quarter of 1998, which shows a growth rate of 10.9% in withholding payments over the fourth quarter of 1997. Growth in quarters for which information is still being gathered is as follows:

1st Quarter 1999	6.7%	4 th Quarter 1999	10.0%
2 nd Quarter 1999	9.7%	1 st Quarter 2000	9.3%
3rd Quarter 1000	Q 0%		

The comparisons made above were against the same number of months of collections in the previous year. In other words, the thirteenth month of information available for the first quarter of 1999 was compared against the thirteenth month of collections for the first quarter of 1998. Current choices for withholding are 0%, 10%, 17%, 20%, 22%, 28% or 32% as the percent of federal taxes to be withheld for state taxes. The 0% option is only available to those individuals who had no liability in the prior tax year and expect no tax liability for the current year.

Property Tax Credits

Arizona allows a refundable property tax credit if you are age 65 or older or if you received Title 16, SSI payments. Additionally, your household income must be below \$3,751 if you live alone, or \$5,501 if you live with another person, to qualify for this credit. The maximum credit is \$502.

_	Number	\$	Average
Calendar Year 2000	328	116,832	356.19
Calendar Year 1999	443	159,575	360.21
% Change	(26.0%)	(26.8%)	(1.1%)

Contributions on the Individual Income Tax Return

Through January 2000 individual income tax return filers have made the following contributions:

	Number	Amount	Average
Wildlife	13	\$200	\$15.38
Child Abuse	18	\$473	\$26.28
Special Olympics	9	\$139	\$15.44
Neighbors Helping	7	\$90	\$12.86
AID to Education	0	\$0	\$0
Domestic Violence Shelter	6	\$71	\$11.83
Democratic Party	0	\$0	\$0
Republican Party	0	\$0	\$0
Libertarian Party	0	\$0	\$0
Reform Party	0	\$0	\$0

CORPORATE INCOME TAX

Corporate Income Tax Receipts

	Jan 2000	Jan 1999	% Change
Gross Collections	\$24,412,037	\$24,962,206	(2.2)
Refunds	(\$2,456,862)	(\$170,551)	1,340.5
Net Collections	\$21,955,175	\$24,791,655	(11.4)

	Fiscal Year Total (99/00)	Fiscal Year Total (98/99)	% Change
Gross Collections	\$329,339,540	\$317,439,612	3.7
Refunds	(\$65,983,214)	(\$61,982,972)	6.5
Net Collections	\$263,356,326	\$255,456,641	3.1

Corporate Estimated Payments

Corporations are required to file estimated tax payments throughout the year only if (1) their Arizona tax liability exceeds \$1,000 and (2) they are required to file federal estimated tax payments.

Jan 2000	\$19,753,706	Calendar Year Total	\$19,753,706
Jan 1999	\$15,163,331	Calendar Year Total	\$15,163,331
% Change	30.3%	% Change	30.3%

All corporations with an income tax liability of \$20,000 or greater are required to make their estimated tax payments through electronic funds transfer (eft). The table below shows the number of these eft estimated payments, by size of payment, for January 2000 and for the fiscal year.

Size of Payment →	Less than \$50,000	\$50,000 up to \$100,000	\$100,000 up to \$500,000	\$500,000 up to \$1,000,000	\$1,000,000 up to \$10,000,000	\$10,000,000 and more	Total	% chg
Jan 2000	161	20	17	5	2	0	205	7.3
Jan 1999	152	18	15	5	1	0	191	
CY 2000	161	20	17	5	2	0	205	7.3
CY 1999	152	18	15	5	1	0	191	

Corporate Refunds

Corporate refunds paid in any state fiscal year are for a mixture of corporate fiscal years. The table below presents the percent of refunds paid in FY 98/99 by corporate fiscal year. For example, in FY 98/99, 3.8% of the refund dollars paid were for corporate fiscal years ending in 1994 or before. The percentages are for refunds paid through the fiscal year.

Corporate Fiscal Year-End:	94 & Prior	95	96	97	98	99
FY 98/99	3.8%	2.1%	3.9%	66.5%	23.1%	0.6%
Corporate Fiscal Year-End:	95 & Prior	96	97	98	99	00
FY 99/00	5.9%	0.8%	1.6%	86.4%	5.3%	0.0%

The corporate refunds shown above are those refunds for which a check is mailed to the corporation. Sometimes corporations choose to apply their refund as an estimated payment. The following table present information on refunds applied as estimated payments in the most recent month and for the calendar year.

Jan 2000	\$5,259,565	Calendar Year Total	\$5,259,565
Jan 1999	\$391,541	Calendar Year Total	\$391,541
% Change	1,243.3%	% Change	1,243.3%

Corporate Income Tax Document Count

The Arizona Department of Revenue received 94,415 corporate returns showing a fiscal year-end of 1998. The type of return received is indicated below:

	120X (amended)	120 (regular)	120S (S corp)	99T (exempt org.)
#	384	52,087	42,587	357
%	0.4	54.6	44.6	0.4

Through January 2000, 12,585 documents have been received for a fiscal year-end of 1999, distributed as follows:

	120 X (amended)	120 (regular)	120S (S corp)	99T (exempt org.)
#	43	11,929	542	71
%	0.3	94.8	4.3	0.6

The figures shown above for the 1999 returns are most meaningful when compared to 1998 returns received during the same period of time in the previous year. Through January 1999, the Arizona Department of Revenue received 14,239 documents with a fiscal year-end of 1998. This represents an 11.6% decrease in corporate returns received at this point of time in the calendar year.

Urban Revenue Sharing Returned to Cities/Towns

Incorporated cities and towns receive a share of net individual and corporate income tax collections, called Urban Revenue Sharing. The amount of money to be distributed is 15.8% of net income tax collections two years earlier. For example, the money being distributed in Fiscal Year 1999/2000 is based on net income tax collections in Fiscal Year 1997/1998. Amounts returned for January 2000 are shown on Table 2, at the end of this report.

TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE, SEVERANCE AND USE TAXES

Transaction Privilege, Severance and Use Tax Receipts

The Arizona transaction privilege and severance tax consists of many categories of tax. These categories include retail, contracting, amusements, restaurants and bars, hotel/motel and mining severance tax. The collections for all such categories are divided, per statute, into two parts. One part is called distribution base; the other part is known as non shared. The division of collections into these two parts varies from category to category. For example, retail sales tax is 40% distribution base and 60% non shared. In contrast, contracting is 20% distribution base and 80% non shared. Due to new legislation, mining severance is now 100% distribution base. Use tax is 100% non shared.

At the close of each month, the computer aggregates transaction privilege and severance tax collections by category and calculates total distribution base and non shared portions. The amount shown as "Use Tax" in the table below includes use tax, use inventory tax and jet fuel use tax. The "Other Revenue" category includes county excise, road, jail and hospital taxes and various other excise taxes, such as the 911 excise tax.

	January 2000	January 1999	% change
Distribution Base	\$117,964,884	\$104,199,906	13.2
Non shared	214,430,494	191,926,919	11.7
Use Tax	15,103,087	14,205,503	6.3
Other Revenues	42,257,276	30,146,330	40.2
Total Collections	\$389 755 741	\$340 478 658	14.5

	Fiscal Year Total (99/00)	Fiscal Year Total (98/99)	% change
Distribution Base	\$688,724,577	\$624,576,238,	10.3
Non shared	1,296,426,198	1,182,533,952	9.6
Use Tax	100,322,815	86,343,433	16.2
Other Revenues	253,103,522	185,443,955	36.5
Total Collections	\$2,338,577,112	\$2,078,897,578	12.5

Taxable Sales by SIC Code Range

The taxable sales by SIC (Standard Industrial Classification) Code ranges are an indicator of business occurring for that type of retail sale. Certain limitations must be understood when using this information.

The Department of Revenue assigns a SIC code to a business based on its primary type of business activity. A grocery store would be assigned a SIC code of 5411. A business that deals primarily with dairy products would have a SIC code of 5451. A problem arises with businesses which derive revenue from multiple business activities. For example, a store like Cosco might be considered both a grocery store and a department store; however, all revenue would be reported under only one of the two possible codes. In summary, an accurate description of what this information represents would be: "These figures represent taxable retail sales for those businesses which have a primary SIC code falling within one designated range."

If the taxable sales for these ranges were added together, the total would not equal retail taxable sales. This is again due to the primary SIC code assignment. There are businesses making retail sales which do not have a primary retail SIC code.

SIC Code	Description	January 2000	<u>January 1999</u>	% Chg
Range				
5211-5271	building materials, hardware, garden	\$151,150,984	\$136,733,925	10.5
	supply & mobile home dealers			
5311-5399	general merchandise stores	574,318,926	541,501,319	6.1
5411-5499	food stores (no food sales)	292,828,491	271,394,111	7.9
5511-5521	motor vehicle dealers	493,469,410	425,580,834	16.0
5531-5599	misc. automotive, motorcycle & boat	151,551,346	137,239,437	10.4
	stores			
5611-5699	apparel & accessory stores	314,257,977	315,488,827	(0.4)
5712-5733	furniture, home furnishings &	200,471,331	186,597,876	7.4
	equipment stores			
5912-5949	misc. retail stores	413,327,615	363,640,935	13.7
	TOTAL	\$3,916,714,139	\$3,480,913,719	12.5
SIC Code	Description	FY 2000	<u>FY 1999</u>	% Chg
SIC Code Range	<u>Description</u>			_
·	building materials, hardware, garden	FY 2000 \$1,115,501,502	FY 1999 \$1,007,964,421	<u>% Chg</u> 10.7
Range 5211-5271	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers	\$1,115,501,502	\$1,007,964,421	10.7
Range 5211-5271 5311-5399	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers general merchandise stores	\$1,115,501,502 2,262,547,700	\$1,007,964,421 2,191,235,378	10.7
Range 5211-5271	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers	\$1,115,501,502 2,262,547,700 1,715,210,940	\$1,007,964,421 2,191,235,378 1,597,162,499	10.7 3.3 7.4
Range 5211-5271 5311-5399	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers general merchandise stores	\$1,115,501,502 2,262,547,700 1,715,210,940 3,579,280,006	\$1,007,964,421 2,191,235,378 1,597,162,499 3,057,348,019	10.7 3.3 7.4 17.1
Range 5211-5271 5311-5399 5411-5499	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers general merchandise stores food stores (no food sales)	\$1,115,501,502 2,262,547,700 1,715,210,940	\$1,007,964,421 2,191,235,378 1,597,162,499	10.7 3.3 7.4
Range 5211-5271 5311-5399 5411-5499 5511-5521	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers general merchandise stores food stores (no food sales) motor vehicle dealers	\$1,115,501,502 2,262,547,700 1,715,210,940 3,579,280,006 1,057,218,539	\$1,007,964,421 2,191,235,378 1,597,162,499 3,057,348,019 975,653,683	10.7 3.3 7.4 17.1 8.4
Range 5211-5271 5311-5399 5411-5499 5511-5521	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers general merchandise stores food stores (no food sales) motor vehicle dealers misc. automotive, motorcycle & boat stores apparel & accessory stores	\$1,115,501,502 2,262,547,700 1,715,210,940 3,579,280,006 1,057,218,539 1,303,968,186	\$1,007,964,421 2,191,235,378 1,597,162,499 3,057,348,019 975,653,683 1,267,485,224	10.7 3.3 7.4 17.1 8.4 2.9
Range 5211-5271 5311-5399 5411-5499 5511-5521 5531-5599	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers general merchandise stores food stores (no food sales) motor vehicle dealers misc. automotive, motorcycle & boat stores	\$1,115,501,502 2,262,547,700 1,715,210,940 3,579,280,006 1,057,218,539	\$1,007,964,421 2,191,235,378 1,597,162,499 3,057,348,019 975,653,683	10.7 3.3 7.4 17.1 8.4
Range 5211-5271 5311-5399 5411-5499 5511-5521 5531-5599 5611-5699	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers general merchandise stores food stores (no food sales) motor vehicle dealers misc. automotive, motorcycle & boat stores apparel & accessory stores	\$1,115,501,502 2,262,547,700 1,715,210,940 3,579,280,006 1,057,218,539 1,303,968,186 1,170,367,971	\$1,007,964,421 2,191,235,378 1,597,162,499 3,057,348,019 975,653,683 1,267,485,224 1,049,310,505	10.7 3.3 7.4 17.1 8.4 2.9 11.5
Range 5211-5271 5311-5399 5411-5499 5511-5521 5531-5599 5611-5699	building materials, hardware, garden supply & mobile home dealers general merchandise stores food stores (no food sales) motor vehicle dealers misc. automotive, motorcycle & boat stores apparel & accessory stores furniture, home furnishings &	\$1,115,501,502 2,262,547,700 1,715,210,940 3,579,280,006 1,057,218,539 1,303,968,186	\$1,007,964,421 2,191,235,378 1,597,162,499 3,057,348,019 975,653,683 1,267,485,224	10.7 3.3 7.4 17.1 8.4 2.9

Transaction Privilege and Severance Tax Returned to Counties

Taxpayers reported the following taxable transactions and tax collections by county. The share of the revenues returned to each county for January 2000 is shown in the County Share column.

	Dist. Base Collections	County Share	% of Total	FYTD County Share	% Chg
Apache	\$2,170,220	\$764,939	1.6	\$2,666,472	65.4
Cochise	1,386,730	868,951	1.8	4,437,034	10.2
Coconino	2,745,231	1,279,119	2.7	7,024,263	9.5
Gila	595,144	354,031	0.7	1,981,072	(3.0)
Graham	398,050	236,746	0.5	1,157,571	6.0
Greenlee	639,677	276,816	0.6	1,571,498	(4.4)
La Paz	263,311	141,766	0.3	694,326	4.7
Maricopa	79,038,691	30,256,868	63.1	145,039,642	10.5
Mohave	2,540,572	1,242,439	2.6	6,246,814	7.1
Navajo	1,521,796	740,023	1.5	3,877,605	9.0
Pima	18,114,651	7,592,978	15.8	36,296,274	9.5
Pinal	1,822,049	1,098,206	2.3	5,650,948	7.5
Santa Cruz	716,703	323,150	0.7	1,503,604	6.9
Yavapai	3,101,481	1,542,935	3.2	7,922,106	15.6
Yuma	2,910,579	1,215,802	2.5	5,295,874	9.7
Total	\$117,964,884	\$47,934,769		\$231,365,102	10.4%

Transaction Privilege and Severance Tax Returned to Cities/Towns

The portion of transaction privilege and severance tax returned to each incorporated city or town for January 2000 is shown on Table 3, attached to this report.

County Tax Collections

The following county-imposed tax collections were received by the Department of Revenue during January 2000 and returned to the counties. County taxes in all but Coconino County are imposed at 10% of the state transaction privilege tax rate. Coconino's Excise Tax is 10% of the state rate, and its Jail Tax is 6% of the state rate. The stadium tax was imposed at 5% of the state rate. This rate completed its run in December 1997. Collections received now should be for prior periods. The rental car surcharge in Maricopa County is \$2.50 per car and in Pima County is \$3.50 per car. The RV Surcharge is \$0.50/day on each lease or rental of a parking space for recreational vehicles.

	Road Tax	Excise	Jail Tax	Rental Car	Stadium	RV	Hospital
		Tax		Surcharges	Tax	Surcharges	Tax
Apache		\$350,034					
Cochise		\$502,543					
Coconino		\$799,419	\$444,390				
Gila	\$228,050	\$218,558					
Graham		\$116,401					
Greenlee		\$46,485					
La Paz		\$74,257	\$74,263				
Maricopa	\$24,402,446		\$8,874,095	\$401,739	\$14,529		
Mohave		\$69					
Navajo		\$431,238					
Pima				\$110,086		\$27,795	
Pinal	\$573,048	\$532,959					
Santa Cruz		\$210,345					
Yavapai		\$891,160					
Yuma		\$726,460	\$725,567				

OTHER TAXES

<u>Luxury Taxes</u>
The following revenues were received from luxury taxes in January 2000. The table compares the receipts to January 1999 and also compares fiscal year (FY) totals. Figures may not add to total due to rounding.

	January 2000	January 1999	% Change
Spirituous	\$2,275,831	\$2,074,026	9.7
Vinous	847,216	1,160,663	(27.0)
Malt	1,885,640	1,638,596	15.1
Cigarette	13,471,661	12,638,485	6.6
Other Tobacco	295,584	290,821	1.6
Tobacco Licenses	125	475	(73.7)
Total	\$18,776,057	\$17,803,066	5.5

	Fiscal Year Total (99/00)	Fiscal Year Total (98/99)	% Change
Spirituous	\$11,992,850	\$11,389,655	5.3
Vinous	5,491,437	4,854,726	13.1
Malt	12,394,590	11,866,668	4.4
Cigarette*	92,119,283	96,765,448	(4.8)
Other Tobacco	2,160,612	2,041,656	5.8
Tobacco Licenses	6,500	6,075	7.0
Total	\$124,165,272	\$126,924,228	(2.2)

^{*}In July, 1999, \$380,000 of Cigarette and Tobacco tax collections was allocated for administrative expenses and is not reflected in fiscal year collections.

General Fund revenues from luxury taxes:

	January 2000	FY (99/00)
Spirituous	\$1,593,082	\$8,394,995
Vinous	211,213	1,370,366
Malt	471,410	3,098,646
Cigarette	4,015,646	25,367,274
Other Tobacco	45,816	334,895
Tobacco Licenses	125	6,500
Total	\$6,337,291	\$38,572,676

Other dedicated revenues from luxury taxes:

	December 1999	FY (99/00)
Correction Fund revenues	\$2,368,184	\$14,842,399
Health Care Fund revenues	9,158,013	65,071,926
Wine Promotional Fund revenues	2,362	9,972
Drug Treatment & Education Fund revenues	650,797	4,057,189
Corrections Revolving Fund revenues	259,409	1,611,109

Estate Tax

% Change	January 2000 January 1999	\$8,074,313 \$10,311,040 (21.7%)	Fiscal year To Date Fiscal year To Date % Change	\$43,267,079 \$48,027,117 (9.9%)
Private Car				
% Change	January 2000 January 1999	\$5,875 \$1,167,199 (99.5%)	Fiscal year To Date Fiscal year To Date % Change	\$1,468,105 \$1,436,286 2.2%
<u>Bingo</u>				
ov Cl	January 2000 January 1999	\$86,079 \$111,782	Fiscal year To Date Fiscal year To Date	\$404,930 \$452,862
% Change		(23.0%)	% Change	(10.6%)
<u>Unclaimed</u>	<u>Property</u>			
	January 2000 January 1999	(\$1,181,504) (\$1,476,279)	Fiscal year To Date Fiscal year To Date	\$14,837,687 \$10,819,434
% Change		(20.0%)	% Change	37.1%

Please note that some totals throughout Tax Facts may not add due to rounding. SOURCE: THE OFFICE OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH & ANALYSIS, ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

TABLE 1
"New" Returns Filed in 2000 for Tax Year 1999
Through January 2000

	CHARACTERISTICS OF TAXPAYI							XPAYEF	ERS	
Federal Adjusted Gross Income Bracket	Number of Returns	% of total	Average FAGI	Average Tax Due	% Married Joint	% Single	% Unmarried Head	% Married Separate	% Over 65	% With Dependents
Negative FAGI	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
\$0-\$5,000	85	9.8%	\$3,168	\$0	11.4%	50.0%	37.5%	1.1%	3.4%	50.0%
\$5,000-\$10,000	275	31.6%	\$7,583	\$16	9.1%	40.2%	50.7%	0.0%	2.5%	61.6%
\$10,000-\$15,000	225	25.9%	\$12,493	\$23	16.0%	14.2%	69.3%	0.4%	1.8%	88.0%
\$15,000-\$20,000	143	16.5%	\$17,206	\$64	18.5%	13.0%	67.1%	1.4%	1.4%	85.6%
\$20,000-\$25,000	74	8.5%	\$22,414	\$166	28.4%	16.2%	55.4%	0.0%	1.4%	83.8%
\$25,000-\$30,000	29	3.3%	\$27,410	\$220	31.0%	0.0%	65.5%	3.4%	0.0%	93.1%
\$30,000-\$40,000	18	2.1%	\$33,154	\$394	44.4%	16.7%	33.3%	5.6%	11.1%	72.2%
\$40,000-\$50,000	14	1.6%	\$44,545	\$627	57.1%	7.1%	35.7%	0.0%	0.0%	85.7%
\$50,000-\$75,000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
\$75,000-\$100,000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
\$100,000-\$200,000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
\$200,000-\$500,000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
\$500,000-\$1,000,000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
\$1,000,000 and over	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Total	869		\$13,240	\$68	16.8%	25.6%	56.7%	0.9%	2.4%	74.0%
NEW RETURNS FIL	ED IN 199	99 FOR	TAX YEA	R 1998						
Total	228,194		\$17,402	\$289	18.4%	59.3%	19.8%	2.5%	7.4%	32.4%

"NEW" Returns means that there was no return filed by the taxpayer in the previous filing year. This may or may not mean the filer is new to the state.

^{*}Information cannot be released due to confidentiality laws.

TABLE 2 Urban Revenue Sharing Returned to Cities/Towns January 2000

City	y Distribution Population City		City					
Apache County			Scottsdale	\$1,552,938	168,176			
Eagar	\$41,692	4,515	Surprise	99,145	10,737			
Springerville	17,729	1,920	Tempe	1,420,383	153,821			
St. Johns	31,026	3,360	Tolleson	40,962	4,436			
Cochise County	,	,	Wickenburg	44,000	4,765			
Benson	\$37,998	4,115	Youngtown	24,876	2,694			
Bisbee	60,021	6,500	Mohave County	,	,			
Douglas	136,479	14,780	Bullhead City	\$248,764	26,940			
Huachuca City	17,914	1,940	Colorado City	29,456	3,190			
Sierra Vista	349,184	37,815	Kingman	154,845	16,769			
Tombstone	12,974	1,405	Lake Havasu City	335,056	36,285			
Willcox	32,624	3,533	Navajo County	222,020	50,205			
Coconino County	32,021	3,333	Holbrook	\$46,816	5,070			
Flagstaff	\$503,068	54,480	Pinetop-Lakeside	30,481	3,301			
Fredonia	11,543	1,250	Show Low	64,527	6,988			
Page	73,410	7,950	Snowflake	38,044	4,120			
Williams	24,839	2,690	Taylor	24,516	2,655			
Gila County	24,037	2,070	Winslow	99,543	10,780			
Globe	\$65,174	7,058	Pima County	77,543	10,760			
Hayden	8,403	910	Marana	\$56,457	6,114			
Miami	18,837	2,040	Oro Valley	181,513	19,657			
Payson	101,611	11,004	Sahuarita	21,340	2,311			
Winkelman	6,242	676	South Tucson	50,344	5,452			
	0,242	070	Tucson					
<u>Graham County</u> Pima	\$17,083	1 050		4,098,263	443,823			
		1,850	Pinal County Apache Junction	¢190.204	10.525			
Safford	81,010	8,773		\$180,294	19,525			
Thatcher	36,539	3,957	Casa Grande	192,806	20,880			
Greenlee County	\$27.656	2.005	Coolidge	65,146	7,055			
Clifton	\$27,656	2,995	Eloy	82,321	8,915			
Duncan	6,787	735	Florence	105,175	11,390			
<u>La Paz County</u>	#27.240	2.050	Kearny	22,669	2,455			
Parker	\$27,240	2,950	Mammoth	18,099	1,960			
Quartzsite	18,514	2,005	Superior	32,181	3,485			
Maricopa County	#210.24	22.551	Santa Cruz County	ф100 73 0	20.555			
Avondale	\$210,267	22,771	Nogales	\$190,728	20,655			
Buckeye	44,850	4,857	Patagonia	8,726	945			
Carefree	21,109	2,286	Yavapai County	4.0.00				
Cave Creek	28,404	3,076	Camp Verde	\$68,932	7,465			
Chandler	1,222,213	132,360	Chino Valley	57,971	6,278			
El Mirage	53,012	5,741	Clarkdale	24,008	2,600			
Fountain Hills	130,624	14,146	Cottonwood	60,437	6,545			
Gila Bend	16,132	1,747	Jerome	4,248	460			
Gilbert	547,927	59,338	Prescott	287,048	31,086			
Glendale	1,686,267	182,615	Prescott Valley	148,141	16,043			
Goodyear	85,415	9,250	Sedona	82,127	8,894			
Guadalupe	50,399	5,458	Yuma County					
Litchfield Park	34,526	3,739	San Luis	\$74,112	8,026			
Mesa	3,122,173	338,117	Somerton	53,779	5,824			
Paradise Valley	114,945	12,448	Wellton	10,397	1,126			
Peoria	688,533	74,565	Yuma	579,821	62,792			
Phoenix	10,613,719	1,149,417						
Queen Creek	28,367	3,072	TOTAL	\$31,475,916	3,408,697			

TABLE 3
Transaction Privilege and Severance Tax Returned to Cities/Towns
January 2000

City	Distribution	Population	City	Distribution	Population
Apache County			Scottsdale	\$1,459,499	168,176
Eagar	\$39,183	4,515	Surprise	93,180	10,737
Springerville	16,663	1,920	Tempe	1,334,920	153,821
St. Johns	29,159	3,360	Tolleson	38,497	4,436
Cochise County			Wickenburg	41,353	4,765
Benson	\$37,712	4,115	Youngtown	23,380	2,694
Bisbee	56,410	6,500	Mohave County	,	,
Douglas	128,267	14,780	Bullhead City	\$233,796	26,940
Huachuca City	16,836	1,940	Colorado City	27,684	3,190
Sierra Vista	328,174	37,815	Kingman	145,528	16,769
Tombstone	12,193	1,405	Lake Havasu City	314,896	36,285
Willcox	30,661	3,533	Navajo County	51.,670	50,200
Coconino County	30,001	3,333	Holbrook	\$43,999	5,070
Flagstaff	\$472,799	54,480	Pinetop/Lakeside	28,647	3,301
Fredonia	10,848	1,250	Show Low	60,645	6,988
Page	68,993	7,950	Snowflake	35,755	4,120
Williams	23,345	2,690	Taylor	23,041	2,655
	23,343	2,090	Winslow		10,780
Globe	\$61,252	7.059	Pima County	93,553	10,780
		7,058		¢52.000	6 114
Hayden	7,897	910	Marana	\$53,060	6,114
Miami	17,704	2,040	Oro Valley	170,591	19,657
Payson	95,497	11,004	Sahuarita	20,056	2,311
Winkelman	5,867	676	South Tucson	47,315	5,452
Graham County			Tucson	3,851,673	443,823
Pima	\$16,055	1,850	Pinal County		
Safford	76,136	8,773	Apache Junction	\$169,446	19,525
Thatcher	34,340	3,957	Casa Grande	181,205	20,880
Greenlee County			Coolidge	61,226	7,055
Clifton	\$25,992	2,995	Eloy	77,368	8,915
Duncan	6,379	735	Florence	98,847	11,390
La Paz County			Kearny	21,305	2,455
Parker	\$25,601	2,950	Mammoth	17,010	1,960
Quartzsite	17,400	2,005	Superior	30,244	3,485
<u>Maricopa County</u>			Santa Cruz County		
Avondale	\$197,616	22,771	Nogales	\$179,252	20,655
Buckeye	42,151	4,857	Patagonia	8,201	945
Carefree	19,839	2,286	Yavapai County		
Cave Creek	26,695	3,076	Camp Verde	\$64,784	7,465
Chandler	1,148,673	132,360	Chino Valley	54,483	6,278
El Mirage	49,823	5,741	Clarkdale	22,564	2,600
Fountain Hills	122,765	14,146	Cottonwood	56,800	6,545
Gila Bend	15,161	1,747	Jerome	3,992	460
Gilbert	514,959	59,338	Prescott	269,777	31,086
Glendale	1,584,806	182,615	Prescott Valley	139,228	16,043
Goodyear	80,275	9,250	Sedona	77,186	8,894
Guadalupe	47,367	5,458	Yuma County	,	-,
Litchfield Park	32,449	3,739	San Luis	\$69,653	8,026
Mesa	2,934,315	338,117	Somerton	50,543	5,824
Paradise Valley	108,029	12,448	Wellton	9,772	1,126
Peoria Peoria	647,105	74,565	Yuma	544,934	62,792
Phoenix	9,975,100	1,149,417	1 uma	377,737	02,792
Queen Creek	26,660	3,072	TOTAL	\$29,582,036	3,408,697
Queen Creek	20,000	3,072	IUIAL	\$47,384,U30	3,408,09/

ADDENDUM

The following table, which was released in the December issue of Tax Facts, has been revised to include electronic filers:

TABLE 1 "New" Returns Filed in 1999 for Tax Year 1998 Through December 1999

			ı	CHARACTERISTICS OF TAXPAYERS					
Number of Returns	% of total	Average FAGI	Average Tax Due	% Married Joint	% Single	% Unmarried Head	% Married Separate	% Over 65	% With Dependents
1,215	0.5%	(\$37,515)	\$2	25.9%	63.1%	5.2%	5.7%	15.3%	16.9%
57,806	25.3%	\$2,707	\$2	3.2%	86.6%	9.1%	1.1%	2.5%	12.4%
53,097	23.3%	\$7,363	\$29	7.6%	68.8%	21.7%	1.9%	5.6%	28.7%
36,072	15.8%	\$12,369	\$92	16.2%	50.5%	30.7%	2.6%	8.9%	42.2%
24,553	10.8%	\$17,302	\$173	24.1%	42.9%	29.4%	3.5%	10.3%	45.2%
15,002	6.6%	\$22,323	\$293	28.6%	41.0%	25.8%	4.5%	8.6%	45.7%
9,666	4.2%	\$27,336	\$422	33.3%	40.6%	21.1%	5.1%	8.8%	43.9%
11,524	5.1%	\$34,500	\$594	41.5%	36.9%	17.0%	4.6%	10.2%	43.4%
6,582	2.9%	\$44,596	\$834	51.2%	31.1%	14.2%	3.4%	13.0%	46.0%
7,536	3.3%	\$60,126	\$1,220	61.6%	25.6%	10.3%	2.5%	16.3%	45.5%
2,559	1.1%	\$85,267	\$1,916	68.0%	22.0%	7.8%	2.2%	20.0%	45.4%
1,994	0.9%	\$130,318	\$3,452	68.4%	21.9%	7.6%	2.2%	24.4%	43.9%
439	0.2%	\$290,501	\$9,599	62.1%	28.4%	6.0%	3.5%	25.9%	37.5%
81	0.0%	\$681,629	\$24,993	60.7%	29.8%	7.1%	2.4%	28.6%	34.5%
68	0.0%	\$2,855,419	\$127,964	47.8%	27.5%	8.7%	15.9%	13.0%	24.6%
228,194		\$17,402	\$289	18.4%	59.3%	19.8%	2.5%	7.4%	32.4%
	of Returns 1,215 57,806 53,097 36,072 24,553 15,002 9,666 11,524 6,582 7,536 2,559 1,994 439 81 68	of Returns total 1,215 0.5% 57,806 25.3% 53,097 23.3% 36,072 15.8% 24,553 10.8% 15,002 6.6% 9,666 4.2% 11,524 5.1% 6,582 2.9% 7,536 3.3% 2,559 1.1% 1,994 0.9% 439 0.2% 81 0.0% 68 0.0%	of Returns total FAGI 1,215 0.5% (\$37,515) 57,806 25.3% \$2,707 53,097 23.3% \$7,363 36,072 15.8% \$12,369 24,553 10.8% \$17,302 15,002 6.6% \$22,323 9,666 4.2% \$27,336 11,524 5.1% \$34,500 6,582 2.9% \$44,596 7,536 3.3% \$60,126 2,559 1.1% \$85,267 1,994 0.9% \$130,318 439 0.2% \$290,501 81 0.0% \$681,629 68 0.0% \$2,855,419	of Returns total FAGI Tax Due 1,215 0.5% (\$37,515) \$2 57,806 25.3% \$2,707 \$2 53,097 23.3% \$7,363 \$29 36,072 15.8% \$12,369 \$92 24,553 10.8% \$17,302 \$173 15,002 6.6% \$22,323 \$293 9,666 4.2% \$27,336 \$422 11,524 5.1% \$34,500 \$594 6,582 2.9% \$44,596 \$834 7,536 3.3% \$60,126 \$1,220 2,559 1.1% \$85,267 \$1,916 1,994 0.9% \$130,318 \$3,452 439 0.2% \$290,501 \$9,599 81 0.0% \$681,629 \$24,993 68 0.0% \$2,855,419 \$127,964	of Returns total FAGI Tax Due Joint 1,215 0.5% (\$37,515) \$2 25.9% 57,806 25.3% \$2,707 \$2 3.2% 53,097 23.3% \$7,363 \$29 7.6% 36,072 15.8% \$12,369 \$92 16.2% 24,553 10.8% \$17,302 \$173 24.1% 15,002 6.6% \$22,323 \$293 28.6% 9,666 4.2% \$27,336 \$422 33.3% 11,524 5.1% \$34,500 \$594 41.5% 6,582 2.9% \$44,596 \$834 51.2% 7,536 3.3% \$60,126 \$1,220 61.6% 2,559 1.1% \$85,267 \$1,916 68.0% 1,994 0.9% \$130,318 \$3,452 68.4% 439 0.2% \$290,501 \$9,599 62.1% 81 0.0% \$681,629 \$24,993 60.7% 68	Number of total Returns % of square total Average FAGI Average Tax Due Tax Due Tax Due Joint % married Joint Single Single Single Joint 1,215 0.5% (\$37,515) \$2 25.9% 63.1% 57,806 25.3% \$2,707 \$2 3.2% 86.6% 53,097 23.3% \$7,363 \$29 7.6% 68.8% 36,072 15.8% \$12,369 \$92 16.2% 50.5% 24,553 10.8% \$17,302 \$173 24.1% 42.9% 15,002 6.6% \$22,323 \$293 28.6% 41.0% 9,666 4.2% \$27,336 \$422 33.3% 40.6% 11,524 5.1% \$34,500 \$594 41.5% 36.9% 6,582 2.9% \$44,596 \$834 51.2% 31.1% 7,536 3.3% \$60,126 \$1,220 61.6% 25.6% 2,559 1.1% \$85,267 \$1,916 68.0% 22.0% 439 0.2%	Number of Returns % of total Average FAGI Tax Due Tax Due Tax Due Joint % of Married Joint % of Married Head 1,215 0.5% (\$37,515) \$2 25.9% 63.1% 5.2% 57,806 25.3% \$2,707 \$2 3.2% 86.6% 9.1% 53,097 23.3% \$7,363 \$29 7.6% 68.8% 21.7% 36,072 15.8% \$12,369 \$92 16.2% 50.5% 30.7% 24,553 10.8% \$17,302 \$173 24.1% 42.9% 29.4% 15,002 6.6% \$22,323 \$293 28.6% 41.0% 25.8% 9,666 4.2% \$27,336 \$422 33.3% 40.6% 21.1% 11,524 5.1% \$34,500 \$594 41.5% 36.9% 17.0% 6,582 2.9% \$44,596 \$834 51.2% 31.1% 14.2% 7,536 3.3% \$60,126 \$1,220 61.6% 25.6% 10.3%	Number of total Returns % of total of Stage Average Average Incomplete (actions) % of total of Stage Incomplete (actions) Average (actions) % of Incomplete (actions)	Number of total FAGI Tax Due Married Joint Single Unmarried Head Separate 1,215 0.5% (\$37,515) \$2 25.9% 63.1% 5.2% 5.7% 15.3% 57,806 25.3% \$2,707 \$2 3.2% 86.6% 9.1% 1.1% 2.5% 53,097 23.3% \$7,363 \$29 7.6% 68.8% 21.7% 1.9% 5.6% 36,072 15.8% \$12,369 \$92 16.2% 50.5% 30.7% 2.6% 8.9% 24,553 10.8% \$17,302 \$173 24.1% 42.9% 29.4% 3.5% 10.3% 15,002 6.6% \$22,323 \$293 28.6% 41.0% 25.8% 4.5% 8.6% 9,666 4.2% \$27,336 \$422 33.3% 40.6% 21.1% 5.1% 8.8% 11,524 5.1% \$34,500 \$594 41.5% 36.9% 17.0% 4.6% 10.2% 6,582 2.9% \$44,596 \$834 51.2% 31.1% 14.2% 3.4% 13.0% 7,536 3.3% \$60,126 \$1,220 61.6% 25.6% 10.3% 2.5% 16.3% 2,559 1.1% \$85,267 \$1,916 68.0% 22.0% 7.8% 2.2% 20.0% 1,994 0.9% \$130,318 \$3,452 68.4% 21.9% 7.6% 2.2% 20.0% 439 0.2% \$290,501 \$9,599 62.1% 28.4% 6.0% 3.5% 25.9% 81 0.0% \$681,629 \$24,993 60.7% 29.8% 7.1% 2.4% 28.6% 68 0.0% \$2,855,419 \$127,964 47.8% 27.5% 8.7% 15.9% 13.0%

[&]quot;NEW" Returns means that there was no return filed by the taxpayer in the previous filing year. This may or may not mean the filer is new to the state.

The Office of Economic Research & Analysis Arizona Department of Revenue 1600 W. Monroe Phoenix, AZ 85007